The state human trafficking law includes sex trafficking but requires force be used in the commission even when the victim is a minor. The law provides limited options to prosecute demand. Victims of domestic minor sex trafficking are not provided with specific protection or services and law enforcement is not provided with training or access to wiretapping—critical tools to aggressively pursue investigations and prosecution.

South Dakota passed a human trafficking law in March 2011, and while it does include the crime of trafficking for commercial sexual activity, it requires force, fraud, or coercion for all victims, including minors, with a heightened penalty if a victim involved is under 16. The state commercial sexual exploitation of children (CSEC) laws include promotion of prostitution and solicitation of a minor under 16, but neither law refers to the human trafficking law for prosecution or victim protections, leaving some minors unidentified as human trafficking victims.

Limited options exist to prosecute demand. The state human trafficking law tracks the federal definition and could, following federal precedent, be applied to buyers through interpretation of the word “obtain” to include causing a person to engage in commercial sex acts, but knowledge that force, fraud, or coercion was used against the person is required. The solicitation of prostitution law does not distinguish between purchasing commercial sex acts with an adult versus a minor; however, solicitation of a minor under 16 to engage in any prohibited sexual act could include commercial sex acts in order to reach buyers. In the same statute, the use of the Internet to buy information about a minor under 16 in order to solicit that minor to engage in a sex act could be used to prosecute buyers who use that means to purchase sex with minors under 16; at the same time, this statute leaves older minors unprotected. This solicitation law prohibits an age mistake defense, but such defense is not prohibited in the sex trafficking law. Buyers could be required to pay restitution to the victim, and buyers who possess child pornography are subject to a civil suit by the victims. Buyers are required to register as sex offenders if convicted of possessing child pornography or buying information to solicit a minor online, but not for convictions of human trafficking for sexual purpose or solicitation for prostitution, even when the victim is under 18.

Solicitation of a minor (§ 22-24A-5) 
Class 4 felony 
Max. 10 years 
Max. $20,000

Hiring another to engage in sexual activity (§ 22-23-9) 
Misdemeanor 
Max. 1 year 
Max. $2,000

Possession of child pornography (§22-24A-3) 
Class 4 felony 
Max. 10 years 
Max. $20,000

All criminal penalties are statutory; many states also have sentencing guidelines that are not codified which affect sentencing.
South Dakota's human trafficking law makes it a crime to benefit financially or receive anything of value from trafficking. Benefitting financially from sex trafficking is a felony punishable by imprisonment up to 25 years and a possible fine of $50,000. Selling child pornography or transporting victims or owning a place regularly used for prostitution are felonies punishable by imprisonment up to 2 years and/or a fine of $4,000. Manufacturing or distributing child pornography is a felony punishable by imprisonment up to 10 years and a possible fine of $20,000. A facilitator is subject to asset forfeiture and civil liability if convicted of a child pornography offense. No law makes sex tourism a crime in South Dakota.

Victims of domestic minor sex trafficking are vulnerable due to gaps in the state legal framework. Child pornography offenders may not assert a defense that the minor consented to the acts, but such a defense is not prohibited in CSEC or sex trafficking crimes. Prostitution offenses are not limited in application to adults and do not identify a minor engaged in prostitution as a victim of sex trafficking, putting child sex trafficking victims exploited through prostitution at risk of being identified and treated as offenders. No laws expressly identify a sex trafficking victim as a victim for the purpose of protection and the definition of a child in need of services does not expressly include a child subjected to sex trafficking or CSEC, further narrowing the possibility of a child victim receiving protective services. In addition, many child victims of sex trafficking are not likely to qualify for protection through child protective services due to the undefined term “sexual exploitation” within the definition of abuse or neglect and the limitation of the definition of “custodian” to family members or legal guardians. Child victims are eligible for state crime victims’ compensation, although eligibility criteria may limit their ability to recover, including requirements to cooperate with law enforcement and to report the crime within five days of when “a report could reasonably be made.” Victims also must file a claim for compensation within one year unless good cause is shown, and victims become ineligible if determined to have contributed to their injury. Sex trafficking and CSEC victims are not identified as eligible for victim-friendly trial procedures, such as the “rape shield” law which reduces the trauma of cross examination for testifying victims and closed-circuit television testimony (limited to victims of sex offenses under age 12). A court may seal juvenile records one year after the child is released from the court’s jurisdiction or from detention. A victim of sex trafficking or CSEC may seek restitution for pecuniary damages only, while victims of certain non-CSEC sex offenses are specifically eligible for “any necessary medical, psychological, or psychiatric treatment, or foster care of the minor resulting from the act or acts,” a disparity in justice for sex trafficking and CSEC victims. Victims of child pornography and promoting prostitution of a minor have a statutorily authorized civil remedy against their exploiter. CSEC and sex trafficking prosecution have a seven year statute of limitations, while civil action is six years beginning at age 18.

Criminal Justice Tools for Investigation and Prosecutions

South Dakota law does not mandate law enforcement training on domestic minor sex trafficking or human trafficking. Single party consent to audiotaping is permitted, but the law does not authorize wiretapping for CSEC or human trafficking investigations, leaving law enforcement without this valuable tool and resulting evidence for better prosecution. Law enforcement are free to use decoys and the Internet to investigate sex trafficking crimes as a result of the express denial of a defense to prosecution based on the “minor” being an “undercover operative or law enforcement officer” in the solicitation of a minor statute, which makes it a crime to solicit a minor under 16 for any sex act, which may include commercial sex acts. Law enforcement are statutorily required to enter missing persons reports in the National Crime Information Center computer within 12 hours, but there is no express mandate to report found children which could help to identify repeat runaways at high-risk for commercial sexual exploitation.

The Report Card is based on the Protected Innocence Legislative Framework, an analysis of state laws performed by the American Center for Law & Justice and Shared Hope International, and sets a national standard of protection against domestic minor sex trafficking. To access the Protected Innocence Legislative Framework Methodology, each completed Report Card, and foundational analysis and recommendations, please visit: www.sharedhope.org/reportcards.aspx.